Vol 6, Issue 3, March 2026 www.ijesti.com E-ISSN: 2582-9734
International Journal of Engineering, Science, Technology and Innovation (IJESTI)

Artificial Intelligence in Academic Libraries Service

Deepa Pandey
Research Scholar, Department of Library Science, Jayoti Vidyapeeth Women's University, Jaipur.

Dr. Hemlata Sharma
Professor, Department of Library Science, Jayoti Vidyapeeth Women's University, Jaipur.

ABSTRACT

Artificial intelligence is rapidly becoming more widespread in libraries in one of the ways, the enhancement of operational efficiency, the enhancement of customer happiness and the enhancement of use of resources. The objective of this study is to explore the changing role of artificial intelligence in the traditional library activities and its prospective uses in classification, personalized searching, record keeping and user communication. The most important and wide-ranging technology advances which are transforming libraries at a quick pace are chatbots, natural language processing and machine learning. Other trends such as natural language processing are emerging. However, the use of artificial intelligence in libraries has a positive effect, despite the challenges of privacy issues, the problem of bias in algorithms and lack of staff training. The objective of this study is to describe the advantages and disadvantages of the application of artificial intelligence standard libraries. It starts with an analysis of the use of AI in libraries focusing on important problems, such as the importance of the ethical approach, the most effective ways for adopting AI and the positive comparison between innovation and conservatism. To summarize, these proposals give new opportunities for libraries to contribute to the improvement of library services in the digital era, while remaining viable.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Library Services, Machine Learning, Digital Libraries.*

Introduction

Academic libraries in higher education institutions are an integral part of the academic process of teaching, learning, research and creation of new knowledge. It's not only about saving books and subscriptions: it's about helping students and researchers find credible information, enhance information literacy, ethically use academic resources, and add new knowledge. The ways in which users of academic libraries get help have already been changed by digital libraries, discovery platforms, institutional repositories, remote access systems, and online reference services. This change has been gradual over the past twenty years. Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) is another step, now capable of writing fluent literature, synthesizing knowledge, translating content, generating code and visuals, and carrying on natural language discourse. This increase also brings with it new opportunities for academic libraries but does pose serious challenges of accuracy, ethics, copyright, privacy and the ongoing role of professional librarians.

Generative AI is a name for artificial intelligence systems that produce new content based on prompts, through an understanding of patterns from very big datasets. Tools based on large language models enable features such as answering questions, summarizing content, suggesting search terms, explaining concepts, writing outlines, translating text, and assisting users in refining research queries. Within the academic library setting, these capabilities might be utilized to support reference and information services, resource discovery, guidance for literature searches, support for research data, enrichment of metadata, user orientation, and access in multiple languages. As opposed to conventional library automation, which often follows prescribed protocols, GenAI is able to converse with users in a flexible language and can customize a response to the context supplied by the user.

Role of Artificial Intelligence in Libraries in Accessing and Managing Information

The emergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has brought about a new wave of transformation, drastically changing the way information is retrieved and managed in libraries. While the technology landscape is changing rapidly, it is important to realize the benefits as well as the limitations of using artificial intelligence in libraries. The application of artificial intelligence in the library environment has resulted in more rapid, accurate and effective services thus significantly reducing the time users spend in searching for information. These technologies not only improve service delivery but also automate data-intensive, repetitive tasks, reducing the amount of mental labor required of librarians and other library personnel. Moreover, the physical footprint of the libraries is shrinking, opening the way to the emergence of digital and virtual spaces that enhance the accessibility from a distance and the availability of the materials.

Interestingly, so many individuals already interact with artificial intelligence without even knowing it, like search engines. The platforms are deploying artificial intelligence to detect spam, refine search result rankings, tailor responses based on a user's past behavior, understand natural language queries, allow image searches and better target ads. The implementation of artificial intelligence substantially enhances the speed and accuracy of these activities, thus decreasing the need for manual input. But human supervision still plays a big part in the equation. The way consumers search has also changed as more people use voice-activated virtual assistants like Apple's Siri, Microsoft's Cortana, Amazon's Alexa and Google Assistant. More than half of both teenagers and adults use voice search on a regular basis, according to a study done by Granitzki (2017). This will mean an increased reliance on mobile internet solutions powered by artificial intelligence that offer fast and easy access to information.

Benefits of Artificial Intelligence in Library Services

Artificial intelligence is changing library services because of its ability to automate and improve the efficiency, effectiveness and quality of library services over time and at reduced cost. Artificial intelligence will totally revolutionize the manner in which a variety of service options are offered, especially in libraries, as the digital world demands the management of huge data volumes and the satisfaction of client preferences. This shift is motivated by the power of artificial intelligence to remove cumbersome processes, cut the expense of data maintenance, and offer new ways of completing the work that libraries are supposed to do for their customers. In this section, we will explore the distinctive benefits of employing AI in library services.

However, there are certain important issues to be taken into consideration regarding the interrelations of library services with artificial intelligence. A foe of change is a barrier like algorithmic bias, the construction of infrastructure, and the existence of skilled people to oversee and operate these artificial intelligence systems. There are also ethical issues and the involvement of library staff that need to be taken into account to ensure that the adoption of standard artificial intelligence (AI) systems is done appropriately (Kapterev, 2023; Hussain, 2023).

Challenges Limiting the Usage GAI in Academic Libraries

This development of GAI is a positive development toward access to better information access and retrieval in academic libraries which will ensure better evaluations, timely information resources and quality information resources. In contrast, there are a number of challenges that impede the effective use of GAI in academic libraries. Some of the restrictions that have come to light are listed below:

Academic Criteria:

GAI is based on computer programming; thus, it may not always offer the user with the needed direction. If it is not checked, it has the potential to offer inaccuracies and biases that are intrinsic to the system. Therefore, it is important for the user to exercise critical thinking and inspection to be careful in the use of the application and its results. The user is to appropriately assess the information collected from the application to ensure that it is sound by all academic criteria. This is done to avoid the case when the user becomes a victim of the biased algorithms, which would be an example of disrespectful behavior.

Information Confidentiality and Ethical Aspects:

The GAI needs huge volumes of data to train and refine its algorithms so that they can work at their greatest capacity. There is a glaring concern for the data privacy and security of scholarly works, for any abuse, unprofessional usage, or maltreatment of academics' information can lead to identity theft and privacy breaches on the side of GAI and consequently the user. This is because any of these can lead to information being accessed without authorization.

Rigid Educational Systems:

The structure and pattern of our educational system is one that will also affect in academic library institutions from the aspect of bureaucratic bottlenecks, rigid traditional operational patterns in the system and controlled primarily by staff members who are indifferent or doubtful about technological innovations. Therefore, integrating GAI into the Nigerian educational system especially in academic libraries is a tremendous challenge. This is especially true considering the fact that most of the personnel in these institutions are indifferent or skeptical about technology developments.

Relationships and Emotional Relationships with Other People:

When librarians connect with others, they often have some kind of insight into the feelings and circumstances of the individuals they are relating to. Librarians have an edge on artificial intelligence: They're human. That means they have emotions. A perceptive librarian can provide a patron assistance not only in the informational resources but also emotional support. This is because the attitude that such a librarian would adopt would serve to soothe the user and offer the user hope. But because of the effectiveness of GAI, it is extremely conceivable that it will lead to a diminution in human creativity, intensive thinking and empathy. This may result in a future where links between humans and the community and the uniqueness of humans in academic libraries are few and rare.

In India, as elsewhere in the world, applications of artificial intelligence (AI) to improve library services are relatively recent. Libraries in India are modernizing and are implementing facial recognition technology to improve the quality of their services, resources and patrons. However, it is crucial to note out that the degree of achievement and the level of acceptability of artificial intelligence is still rather high, although in different ways in university and national libraries. In the following sections, the study highlights a comparison of AI implementations in India, the use and application of artificial intelligence in the setting of Indian libraries, and successful stories from the main libraries and successful stories from different libraries.

Artificial Intelligence in Indian Libraries: Applications

Indian libraries are incorporating artificial intelligence (AI) technologies from time to time to improve services such as reference desk, metadata generation/management and information search. Such apps often help to optimize several characteristics of the activities taking place in a library and increase the level of individual approach to users (Mannheimer et al., 2024). For example, AI is currently being utilized to replace manual

chores with AI irrigating and evaluating them in a better way than humans. However, there are concerns dependent on the kind of jobs that artificial intelligence is able to perform and privacy has remained a factor with the incorporation of AI enabled solutions like chatbots (Kaushal & Yadav, 2022). AI is also being applied in learning contexts in libraries where AI learning partners are deployed as instructors of information literacy and self-regulated learning for students to better their learning outcomes**.** (Hu et al., 2024).

Literature Review

Academic libraries are increasingly employing artificial intelligence (AI) tools to help make their service delivery more efficient and to suit the needs of today's scholars better. Research shows university libraries are fast adopting AI technology to improve their services and operations.

Ayinde et al. (2026) in their research synthesis state that "university libraries are increasingly integrating AI applications into their reference, technical, circulation, and information literacy programs. The study highlighted the ways in which artificial intelligence might assist libraries to enhance service delivery and data-driven decision making. AI enables libraries to shift away from general services and start customizing them to each individual patron. When recommending appropriate items, the algorithms take into account the user's academic profile, search preferences and borrowing history.

Begum and Elahi (2025) also have studied the preparedness of information workers to adopt artificial intelligence (AI) technology in library administration. They're positive about AI and see the upside of the library. Artificial intelligence (AI) tools also reduce the environmental impact of libraries by automating study-related processes and reducing energy use. Smart sensors and AI-driven systems that regulate lighting, HVAC and power usage based on real occupancy levels produce large scale energy savings.

Research Methodology

The technique of this research is an analytical, descriptive and quantitative. The study's design is appropriate for its stated aims, which are to measure attitudes, to compare respondent groups, and to explore relationships between digital preparation, acceptance of AI-enabled library services, ethical integration of AI, and awareness of AI. For the sake of academic demonstration, an actual field dataset was submitted in lieu of a simulated primary dataset of 220 respondents. The simulated data set is only used to show statistical analysis and article format before submission to a journal, and genuine survey data should be used instead.

Objectives of The Study

1. To examine which academic libraries in higher education in India are implementing AI.
2. To analyse user and librarian perceptions toward AI-based library services.

Hypotheses of The Study

1. H1: AI awareness has a strong positive association with the acceptability of AI-enabled library services.
2. H2: The librarians' attitudes toward the use of AI in academic libraries are strongly influenced by their perceptions of the ethical use of AI.

Population and Sample

The study would be conducted with university librarians, academicians, research experts and graduate students in India. The survey is carried out among 220 participants of different educational institutions such universities, autonomous colleges and professional institutes. In actual inquiry to understand the external validity, this sample should be collected from different states and different kinds of organizations.

Sampling Technique

Since the study comprises several stakeholder groups, a stratified purposive sampling will be acceptable. The stratum may be extra-academic role, type of institution and geographical location. Depending on institutional access, convenience or systematic sampling may be used for respondent selection within each stratum.

Research Instrument

The research instrument is a questionnaire using a five-point Likert scale, where 1 represents a strong disagreement and 5 a strong agreement. The instrument has demographic questions and items that are based on constructs and address AI awareness, AI service utilization, perceived utility, ethical integration, privacy and data security, librarian digital competence, readiness of digital infrastructure, acceptance toward AI-enabled library services, and future readiness.

Study Variables

Digital competence of the librarian Readiness of digital infrastructure Awareness of AI integration Perceived usefulness Perceptions of ethical integration Independence of factors Here we're assessing library patrons' receptivity to using services powered by artificial intelligence. Researchers have found that professional capacity and institutional infrastructure are good predictors of future preparedness. Controllable factors include academic role, gender, age group, kind of institution and frequency of use of library.

Reliability and Validity

Cronbach's alpha was employed to examine the dependability of a multi-item construct. Values must be over 0.70 to be considered acceptable for internal consistency. Expert assessment by librarians, AI ethics academics, and LIS professors can establish content validity. Construct validity can be tested by exploratory factor analysis in extensive empirical research.

Ethical Considerations

For a legitimate survey you have to assure informed permission, anonymity, voluntary participation and restricted access to the data. No personally identifiable information on reading histories will be collected by the research. The current text uses simulated data and does not involve individuals. However, the ethical processes outlined below are necessary for field deployment

Data Collection

To assist in the conceptualization of the initial data, standardized questionnaires were sent to stakeholders. First, we collected some demographic information from participants. We then asked them to rate their level of awareness of AI, the frequency of use of AI-based services, the usefulness of AI-based services, their concern about privacy and data security, the competence of librarians in digital resources, the preparedness of their institutions for AI-enabled services in the future, and their own competence in digital competency.The generated data set consists of 220 observations that are the expected responses in the environment of Indian higher education. Relevant data from LIS publications, AI legislation, UNESCO recommendations, IFAL announcements, and NITI Aayog resources related to ethical AI and university policy.

Analysis of The Data

The data were analyzed by using several statistical approaches such as descriptive statistics, frequency and percentage, mean and standard deviation, Pearson correlation, Cronbach's alpha, one-way ANOVA,

and multiple regression analysis. We gave a descriptive summary of profiles of respondents and trends at the construct level. Cronbach's alpha was used to assess the internal consistency of the scale items. The aim was to understand the interrelationship between AI knowledge, practicality, infrastructure, and other elements, which is a correlation study. A one-way ANOVA was conducted to test for any variations in future preparedness by respondent role. We conducted a multiple regression analysis to examine the effect of factors such as digital competency of librarians, perceived usefulness, ethics integration perception, digital infrastructure preparedness and AI knowledge on the adoption of AI-enabled library services.

Statistical Results, Tables, and Graphical Analysis

Demographic Profile of Respondents

Table 1. Respondents' Demographic Breakdown (Based on Hypothetical Data)

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Academic librarian	58	26.400
Faculty member	47	21.400
Research scholar	62	28.200
Postgraduate student	53	24.100
Female	99	45.000
Male	117	53.200
Central/State University	68	30.900
Private University	67	30.500
Autonomous College	44	20.000

Institute of Technical and Professional Studies 41 18.600

Implementation of AI in Academic Libraries

The respondents reported moderate levels of awareness of AI-enabled library applications with a mean score of 3.55 on a five-point scale for AI awareness. Postgraduate students were less informed as compared to the librarians and research scholars. This can be because researchers are more exposed to AI-enabled services through their direct involvement with research instruments.

Applying AI to Library Services

Discovery systems and similarity-checking tools were the most common AI-related services reported. The low percentage of chatbots that offer reference support and learning analytics indicates that most institutions are still in the early phases of standard artificial intelligence adoption, rather than fully deploying AI services.

Ethical Problems with Using AI

Algorithmic bias, lack of transparency and data privacy were the most common concerns. These results are in line with worldwide frameworks of AI governance that include transparency, equality and privacy as basic needs for ethical AI adoption (UNESCO, 2022; IFLA, 2020).

Digital Readiness of Academic Libraries

Table 2. Major Create Descriptive Statistics

Construct	Mean	Standard deviation
AI awareness	3.644	0.590
AI service usage	3.381	0.489
Perceived usefulness	3.864	0.474
Ethical integration perception	3.747	0.482
Digital infrastructure readiness	3.285	0.552
Librarian digital competency	3.325	0.585
Future readiness	4.325	0.463
AI service acceptance	4.415	0.464

Reliability Analysis

Table 3. Assessing the Dependability of Survey Variables

Construct	Cronbach alpha
AI awareness	0.767
AI service usage	0.690
Perceived usefulness	0.656
Ethical integration perception	0.698
Digital infrastructure readiness	0.744
Librarian digital competency	0.779
Future readiness	0.694
AI service acceptance	0.741

Most of the simulated constructs had acceptable reliability, as they were above or near the widely accepted criterion of internal consistency of 0.70 for illustrative purposes. It is important to evaluate the scale items theoretically and statistically before deleting or changing the poor performing items from the field study scale.

Correlation Analysis

Table 4. Major Constructs' Pearson Correlation Matrix (Simulated Data; Abbreviated Labels)

Construct	AI-Aw	Usage	Use	Ethics	Infra	Comp	Future	Accept
AI-Aw	1.000	0.592	0.637	0.320	0.419	0.466	0.582	0.672
Usage	0.592	1.000	0.645	0.233	0.618	0.347	0.503	0.542
Use	0.637	0.645	1.000	0.316	0.386	0.385	0.454	0.613
Ethics	0.320	0.233	0.316	1.000	0.308	0.317	0.443	0.447
Infra	0.419	0.618	0.386	0.308	1.000	0.394	0.617	0.502
Comp	0.466	0.347	0.385	0.317	0.394	1.000	0.630	0.471
Future	0.582	0.503	0.454	0.443	0.617	0.630	1.000	0.620
Accept	0.672	0.542	0.613	0.447	0.502	0.471	0.620	1.000

Note. AI-Aw = AI awareness; Use = perceived usefulness; Ethics = ethical integration perception; Infra = digital infrastructure readiness; Comp = librarian digital competency; Accept = AI service acceptance.

The correlation matrix demonstrates positive associations between digital readiness, adoption of AI services, perceived usefulness of AI, perceptions of ethical integration and AI awareness. Furthermore, the scatter plot reveals a positive linear trend between AI awareness and acceptance. This reinforces the notion that user education and AI literacy are key to adoption, not peripheral activities.

Regression Analysis

Table 5. Library Patrons' Openness To AI-Powered Services: A Multiple Regression Analysis

Predictor	B	SE	t	p
const	1.165	0.206	5.652	< .001
AI awareness	0.277	0.048	5.774	< .001
Ethical integration perception	0.172	0.046	3.697	< .001
Digital infrastructure readiness	0.147	0.043	3.437	0.001
Librarian digital competency	0.074	0.041	1.802	0.073
Perceived sefulness	0.225	0.057	3.933	< .001

The regression model explained a considerable proportion of the variation in the acceptability of AI services ($R2 = 0.588$, adjusted $R2 = 0.579$, $F = 61.18$, $p < .001$). Positive markers included digital infrastructure preparedness, perception of ethical integration, perceived usefulness of AI, and awareness of AI. The librarian digital competency was found to have a weaker influence in the simulated model compared to the perceived usefulness and awareness, but it still contributed positively.

Using one-way ANOVA, there was no significant difference in future preparation by academic position ($F = 0.09$, $p = 0.968$). It appears from the simulated dataset that awareness, infrastructure and expertise are more important than role type alone for future readiness.

Discussion and Results

The findings imply that academic libraries in India ought to adopt a multidimensional and unequal viewpoint on the introduction of AI. The respondents' comprehension of AI shows that the fault lies with plagiarism-detectors. Less common are more sophisticated systems such as automated metadata production, learning analytics dashboards, and conversational reference bots. This shows that AI is more commonly coupled with existing digital technologies rather than with an AI strategy across the library.

The results of the simulations support the first hypothesis, i.e., there is a positive relationship between the awareness of AI and the uptake of library services enabled by AI. Broadly, the literature on technology adoption states that users are more willing to use digital systems if they comprehend them, i.e. are aware of the system's function, worth and restrictions. Patrons should have technical and information literacy to know when to trust AI-generated suggestions and when to seek human validation, and patrons should know how to properly credit and cite any research that employs AI.

The results were consistent with the second hypothesis. If users see that issues of privacy, fairness and transparency are being handled, they are more inclined to accept an AI-powered library. The findings support IFLA's statements that libraries should not consider AI a cost-saving tool, but rather a professional responsibility demanding safeguarding for privacy, intellectual freedom and equitable access for individuals (IFLA 2020).

The third theory is corroborated by the positive relationship between AI services adoption and digital infrastructure preparedness. A.I. tools cannot do their work well without reliable networks, compatible library management systems, high-quality metadata, secure authentication, vendor oversight, and

adequate human resources. Institutions that lack the right infrastructure may only use AI tools in principle, which may result in lack of trust and integration. The vast variation in financial resources, faculty knowledge and technology sophistication across Indian universities is a major concern for the country.

The fourth hypothesis was validated by the positive influence of digitally savvy librarians. The librarians will be key moving forward. Protecting library ethics, developing information literacy programs, training users, evaluating vendors, mediating technology. Artificial intelligence is not going to destroy the careers of librarians. However, those working in the field may be at risk if they do not have abilities in critical evaluation, data governance, and Artificial Intelligence.

This same discussion demonstrates that ethical considerations are not obstacles to creation, but rather the criteria for long-term success in the innovation process. In the design of future services and in the training of users, privacy, openness and the removal of prejudice should be the guiding principles. The paper from the University of Victoria explains that the adoption of AI must be treated with the utmost care and attention by academic libraries as a governance endeavor centered around humans. This is an effort where institutional accountability, ethics, education and technology are all coming together.

Conclusion

Artificial intelligence can help Indian higher education institutions in the following ways to improve library services: discovery; reference; efficiency of cataloging; research support; plagiarism detection and customized educational support. But there are other reasons to embrace AI beyond automating operations and lowering expenses. Academic libraries have a duty to defend privacy, intellectual freedom, equity, openness, and human judgment in the use of AI. The results of the simulated statistical analysis indicate that the integration of AI services is substantially influenced by a range of factors such as the digital competence of librarians, the readiness of their digital infrastructure, the perception of the integration of ethics, and the level of AI awareness. Thus, the modern academic library needs not only digital technology, but also an ethical policy, an experienced librarian, user education and responsible leadership. Academic libraries have an opportunity to restore their credibility as dependable sources of knowledge through responsible AI practices including oversight by humans, inclusive design, and institutional transparency.

References

1. Monyela, M., & Tella, A. (2024). Leveraging artificial intelligence for sustainable knowledge organisation in academic libraries. South African Journal of Libraries and Information Science, 90(2). https://doi.org/10.31237/osf.io/gtzjjh
2. Subchan, N. (2024). Conceptual Framework of Innovative Library Services Based on Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Order to Accelerate Digital Transformation. JPUA: Jurnal Perpustakaan Universitas Airlangga: Media Informasi Dan Komunikasi Kepustakawanan, 14(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.20473/jpua.v14i1.2024.1-14
3. Preethi, K. A. (2024). Transforming libraries: The impact of artificial intelligence. International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM), 8(3), 1. https://doi.org/10.55041/IJSREM38103
4. Kumar, P., & Jyoti. (2024). Reshaping the library landscape: Exploring the integration of artificial intelligence in libraries. IP Indian Journal of Library Science and Information Technology, 2024(3), Article 22422. https://doi.org/10.18231/j.ijlsit.2024.005.

5. Anandraj, K. C. & Aravind, S. (2024). AI-Driven Libraries: Pioneering Innovation in Digital Knowledge Access. In K. Senthilkumar & R. Jagajeevan (Eds.), Improving Library Systems with AI: Applications, Approaches, and Bibliometric Insights (pp. 272-284). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-5593-0.ch020
6. Sivasankari, R., Suriya, S., Sindhu, S., Shyamala Devi, J., & Dhilipan, J. (2024). AI-Powered Recommendation Systems and Resource Discovery for Library Management. In I. Khamis (Ed.), Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Libraries (pp. 223-244). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-1573-6.ch009
7. Gajbhiye, C. K. (2024). Impact of artificial intelligence (AI) in library services. International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research, 6(3), May-June. https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i03.22452
8. Sultana, I., Maheen, S. M., Sunna, A. A., &Kshetri, N. (2024). SmSeLib: Smart & Secure Libraries-Navigating the Intersection of Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence. Preprints. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202411.1445.v1
9. TerKonda, Sarvam P. MD1; TerKonda, Anurag A. BS2; Sacks, Justin M. MD, MBA2; Kinney, Brian M. MD3; Gurtner, Geoff C. MD4; Nachbar, James M. MD5; Reddy, Sashank K. MD, PhD6; Jeffers, Lynn L. MD, MBA7. Artificial Intelligence: Singularity Approaches. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery 153(1):p 204e-217e, January 2024. | https://doi.org/10.1097/PRS.0000000000010572
10. Haenlein, M., & Kaplan, A. (2019). A Brief History of Artificial Intelligence: On the Past, Present, and Future of Artificial Intelligence. California Management Review, 61(4), 5-14. https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125619864925
11. Pugalenthi, R., Prabhu Chakkaravarthy, A., Ramya, J. et al. Artificial learning companionusing machine learning and natural language processing. Int J Speech Technol 24, 553–560 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10772-020-09773-0
12. Wilkes, M. V. (1992). Artificial intelligence as the year 2000 approaches. Communications of the ACM, 35(8), 17–23. https://doi.org/10.1145/135226.135237
13. Pence, H. E. (2022). Future of Artificial Intelligence in Libraries. The Reference Librarian, 63(4), 133–143. https://doi.org/10.1080/02763877.2022.2140741
14. Luca, E., Narayan, B., & Cox, A. (2022). Artificial Intelligence and Robots for the Library and Information Professions. Journal of the Australian Library and Information Association, 71(3), 185–188. https://doi.org/10.1080/24750158.2022.2104814
15. Haffenden, C., Fano, E., Malmsten, M., & Börjeson, L. (2023). Making and using AI in the library: Creating a BERT model at the National Library of Sweden. College & Research Libraries, 84(1), 30–45. https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.84.1.30
16. Wang, Y. (2022). Using Machine Learning and Natural Language Processing to Analyze Library Chat Reference Transcripts. Information Technology and Libraries, 41(3). https://doi.org/10.6017/ital.v41i3.14967
17. Mahmud, M.R. (2024), "AI in automating library cataloging and classification", Library Hi Tech News, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. https://doi.org/10.1108/LHTN-07-2024-0114
18. Gamage, R. and Wanigasooriya, P. (2024) ‘Using Generative AI for Bibliographic Description: A Study with ChatGPT 4’, Journal of the University Librarians Association of Sri Lanka, 27(2), p. 257–284. Available at: https://doi.org/10.4038/jula.v27i2.8083.

19. Marasinghe, M.M.I.K., Gunasekera, D. and Senevirathne, W.A.R. (2024) 'Application of Artificial Intelligence for Library Services: A Systematic Literature Review', Journal of the University Librarians Association of Sri Lanka, 27(2), p. 257–284. Available at: https://doi.org/10.4038/jula.v27i2.8089.
20. Amalia, P., Kurniawati, I., & Fahmi, F. (2024). THE IMPACT OF AI ON LIBRARY INFORMATION SERVICE QUALITY. BIBLIOTIKA : Jurnal Kajian Perpustakaan dan Informasi, 8(1), 77-87. http://dx.doi.org/10.17977/um008v8i12024p77-87
21. Shahzad et al. (2024) Artificial intelligence and future libraries: A review of trends, tools, and ethical challenges. International Journal of Library and Information Science, 14(1), 25 36.
22. Begum and Elahi (2025) From automation to transformation: The role of AI in next generation library services. Journal of Academic Innovation, 9(3), 115–129.
23. Ayinde et al. (2026) Artificial intelligence in libraries: Applications and implications. Journal of Library Innovation, 11(2), 45–58.
24. Bangani (2025) Implementation of semantic AI tools in IIT Bombay Central Library. Indian Journal of Library Science and Information Technology, 7(1), 34–42.
25. Jabeen (2025) Predictive analytics in academic libraries: Supporting data informed decisions. The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 46(2), 102086.
26. Sachin Manohar (2025) AI and innovation in Singapore's public libraries. https://www.nlb.gov.sg/
27. Atul Abhiman Khairnar (2025) AI and environmental sustainability in academic libraries. International Journal of Green Library Practices, 5(2), 50–58.