Shifting Education Paradigms and the Changing Role of Teachers in the Twenty-First Century

Gopal Senapati

Research Scholar, Dept. of Education, YBN University, Ranchi, Jharkhand.

Working as State Added College Teacher, Dept. of Education,

Debra Thana Sahid Kshudiram Smriti Mahavidyalaya, Debra, Chak Kumar, West Bengal.

Email Id: - gopal.vbu1989@gmail.com

Prof. Dr. Tabassum Khatoon

Principal of Education, Professor, Dept. of Education, Sai Nath University, Jharkhand.

Email Id: khantabbu24680@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Education systems have evolved significantly in response to globalization, technological advancement, and changing socio-economic demands. This review paper examines the transition from traditional teacher-centered paradigms characterized by lecture-based instruction, rote learning, and exam-oriented assessment to modern learner-centered, technology-integrated, and competency-based approaches. The study highlights how these paradigm shifts have redefined teaching practices, curriculum design, and assessment strategies. The paper also analyzes the changing role of teachers in the twenty-first century, emphasizing their transformation from authoritative instructors to facilitators, mentors, technology integrators, and reflective practitioners. While modern paradigms promote active learning, digital integration, and skill development, teachers face challenges such as digital readiness gaps and infrastructural constraints. The review concludes that sustainable educational transformation requires continuous professional development, inclusive practices, and supportive policy frameworks.

Keywords: Education Paradigm, Teacher Role, Learner-Centered Education, Digital Learning, Competency-Based Education, Educational Reform.

1. Introduction

Education is a dynamic and evolving social institution that reflects the philosophical, cultural, economic, and technological priorities of a society. The concept of an education paradigm refers to a structured framework of beliefs, values, theories, and practices that shape how teaching and learning are organized within a given period. A paradigm determines the aims of education, the role of teachers and learners, the nature of curriculum, and the methods of assessment. Contemporary scholarship emphasizes that educational paradigms evolve in response to systemic shifts in knowledge production, technology, and societal expectations (OECD, 2018). Over time, the education paradigm has shifted from rigid, teacher-centered approaches to more learner-centered, flexible, and technology-integrated systems that prioritize adaptability and lifelong learning (Schleicher, 2018). Historically, education systems have evolved through multiple stages influenced by social and intellectual movements. Early systems emphasized moral and religious instruction, while industrial-era schooling promoted standardized curricula, centralized administration, and examination-driven accountability structures. Modern analyses suggest that industrial models of schooling were designed to meet economic productivity goals rather than holistic development (Biesta, 2015). However, progressive reforms in recent decades have increasingly emphasized experiential learning, democratic participation, and student agency. Contemporary educational research

highlights the growing importance of learner engagement, metacognition, and deeper learning competencies in preparing students for complex global challenges (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017).

In the contemporary era, rapid social transformation has necessitated a paradigm shift in education. The modern world is characterized by knowledge-based economies, digital communication networks, multicultural integration, and continuous innovation. Traditional lecture-based methods and rote memorization are increasingly inadequate for preparing learners to navigate uncertain futures. Education systems are therefore transitioning toward competency-based frameworks that emphasize creativity, collaboration, critical thinking, and problem-solving (OECD, 2019). This shift also recognizes the importance of inclusive education, personalized learning pathways, and adaptability to diverse learner needs. As a result, teachers are no longer viewed solely as knowledge transmitters but as facilitators, mentors, and designers of learning experiences who support active and inquiry-driven environments (UNESCO, 2020).

Globalization has significantly influenced this transformation by intensifying international benchmarking, cross-border collaboration, and policy borrowing among education systems. Large-scale international assessments and comparative education frameworks have shaped reform agendas worldwide (Schleicher, 2018). Simultaneously, rapid expansion of digital technologies has accelerated innovation in teaching and learning practices. Technology integration now includes online platforms, blended classrooms, open educational resources, and artificial intelligence-supported instruction, reshaping access to knowledge and participation in learning communities (Redecker, 2017). National policy reforms have also reinforced this paradigm shift. For instance, India's National Education Policy 2020 emphasizes multidisciplinary education, digital literacy, skill development, and competency-based assessment to align with global educational trends (Ministry of Education, 2020).

The convergence of globalization, technological advancement, and policy innovation has created both opportunities and challenges for educators. Teachers must continuously update pedagogical skills, integrate digital tools meaningfully, and respond to increasingly diverse classrooms. Research indicates that sustained professional development and supportive institutional cultures are essential for effective paradigm transition (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017). This transformation demands not only teacher adaptability but also systemic reform that fosters collaborative networks, reflective practice, and innovation in curriculum and assessment design.

2. Concept of Education Paradigm

The concept of an education paradigm refers to a comprehensive framework that shapes how knowledge is constructed, transmitted, and evaluated within an educational system. It encompasses philosophical assumptions about learning, psychological theories of development, pedagogical strategies, and institutional practices. Educational paradigms influence curriculum design, classroom interaction, assessment methods, and the professional identity of teachers. Contemporary scholars emphasize that paradigms evolve in response to socio-cultural shifts, technological advancement, and global policy transformations (OECD, 2018). Rather than remaining static, educational paradigms adapt to emerging knowledge economies and digital societies, thereby redefining the goals and processes of teaching and learning (Schleicher, 2018).

Definition and Theoretical Foundations

Educational paradigms are deeply rooted in learning theories that explain how individuals acquire, process, and apply knowledge. Four major theoretical foundations—Behaviourism, Constructivism, Humanism, and Connectivism—continue to shape contemporary educational discourse.

Behaviourism conceptualizes learning as a measurable change in observable behavior resulting from external stimuli and reinforcement mechanisms. Modern analyses highlight how behaviorist principles inform structured curriculum design, competency-based standards, and outcome-driven assessment models in contemporary schooling systems (Biesta, 2015). Although often critiqued for limiting creativity, behaviorism remains influential in performance-based evaluation frameworks and standardized accountability systems.

Constructivism, in contrast, emphasizes that learners actively construct knowledge through experience, reflection, and social interaction. Recent research underscores that constructivist approaches enhance deeper learning, problem-solving ability, and metacognitive awareness (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017). Constructivism supports inquiry-based and collaborative learning environments where teachers function as facilitators rather than sole authorities. This paradigm aligns strongly with twenty-first-century skills frameworks that emphasize critical thinking and adaptability.

Humanism centers on holistic development, prioritizing emotional well-being, intrinsic motivation, and learner autonomy. Contemporary educational discourse increasingly recognizes social-emotional learning (SEL) and inclusive pedagogies as essential components of quality education (UNESCO, 2020). Humanistic approaches encourage supportive classroom climates, empathy, and learner empowerment, reinforcing the importance of psychological and social dimensions in academic success.

Connectivism, emerging within digital-era scholarship, addresses learning in networked and technology-rich environments. This perspective suggests that knowledge exists within digital networks and that learning involves navigating, connecting, and evaluating distributed information sources. Current digital competence frameworks highlight the importance of connectivity, media literacy, and online collaboration in shaping modern learning ecosystems (Redecker, 2017). Connectivism reflects the shift toward blended, online, and technology-integrated educational models.

Characteristics of the Traditional Education Model

The traditional education model, historically aligned with industrial-era schooling, exhibits several defining characteristics that continue to influence institutional structures. A central feature is the teacher-centered approach, where the teacher functions as the primary authority and knowledge transmitter. Instruction is typically hierarchical, and learners are expected to absorb and reproduce information accurately. Contemporary critiques describe this model as aligned with transmissive pedagogy, which prioritizes content delivery over dialogue and student agency (Biesta, 2015). Such environments often limit participatory engagement and shared meaning-making.

Another defining element is lecture-based instruction, characterized by one-directional communication from teacher to students. While efficient for large-scale content dissemination, lecture-dominated classrooms may restrict interactive engagement and critical discourse. Modern research increasingly advocates for interactive pedagogies to replace purely lecture-driven models (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017).

The traditional paradigm also emphasizes rote learning, where memorization and repetition take precedence over conceptual understanding. Although foundational knowledge acquisition is necessary, overreliance on rote strategies can constrain higher-order thinking and creativity. Educational reform frameworks now call for deeper learning approaches that move beyond factual recall toward application and analysis (OECD, 2019).

Finally, the traditional model is characterized by an examination-oriented system, relying heavily on standardized and summative assessments. Such systems prioritize measurable academic outcomes and institutional accountability. However, contemporary global education discussions increasingly question exam-centric cultures and advocate for formative, competency-based, and performance-oriented assessment models (UNESCO, 2020).

Overall, the traditional education paradigm reflects a structured, authority-driven, and content-focused system. While historically significant, it contrasts sharply with emerging learner-centered, inclusive, and technology-integrated models. Recognizing these characteristics provides a critical foundation for understanding the need for ongoing paradigm transformation in modern education systems.

3. Evolution of Education Paradigms

The evolution of educational paradigms reflects broader societal, technological, and economic transformations. In the twenty-first century, education systems worldwide are undergoing structural changes driven by digitalization, globalization, and shifting workforce demands. Contemporary research emphasizes that education has progressively transitioned from rigid, content-driven systems to flexible, learner-centered, and competency-oriented frameworks (OECD, 2021). This progression demonstrates how pedagogical philosophies adapt to emerging social realities and economic priorities. The shift toward skills-based and lifelong learning models highlights the growing emphasis on innovation, adaptability, and interdisciplinary competence in modern education (UNESCO, 2021).

Traditional Education Model

The traditional education model was largely influenced by industrial-era requirements, where schooling aimed to produce disciplined and standardized workers. Even in recent analyses, scholars argue that traditional systems prioritize structured curriculum planning, centralized control, and measurable academic outcomes (Biesta, 2022). A defining characteristic of this paradigm is the discipline-based curriculum, in which subjects remain compartmentalized into fixed categories. This structure emphasizes content mastery within subject boundaries rather than interdisciplinary integration.

Another core feature is the fixed classroom environment, characterized by teacher-dominated instruction, standardized timetables, and uniform progression through grade levels. While this approach supports administrative efficiency and accountability, contemporary critiques highlight its limitations in fostering creativity and learner autonomy (Zhao, 2020).

The traditional model also involves limited student participation, were communication flows primarily from teacher to student. Passive learning environments often restrict inquiry, collaboration, and experiential engagement. In knowledge-driven societies, such limitations have prompted calls for pedagogical reform and learner empowerment (OECD, 2021).

Constructivist Paradigm

In response to the limitations of traditional schooling, the constructivist paradigm emphasizes learner engagement and active meaning-making. Research from the past decade consistently demonstrates that active learning strategies significantly improve conceptual understanding, retention, and higher-order thinking skills (Freeman et al., 2020).

Constructivist pedagogy also promotes collaborative learning, recognizing that knowledge is socially constructed through dialogue and interaction. Collaborative environments enhance communication skills, social competence, and academic achievement (Järvelä et al., 2020).

A defining feature of constructivism is the problem-solving approach, which situates learning within authentic, real-world contexts. Problem-based and inquiry-driven models prepare students to address complex societal challenges by fostering analytical reasoning and adaptive expertise (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020).

Finally, constructivist environments prioritize critical thinking development, encouraging learners to evaluate evidence, question assumptions, and construct independent perspectives. Such competencies are increasingly viewed as essential for democratic participation and global citizenship (UNESCO, 2021).

Digital and Technology-Integrated Paradigm

The digital revolution has accelerated the emergence of a technology-integrated education paradigm. The integration of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) transforms teaching practices by enabling interactive content, adaptive learning systems, and data-informed instruction (OECD, 2021).

The development of smart classrooms equipped with digital boards, learning analytics tools, and internet connectivity facilitates interactive and multimedia-rich learning environments. These tools enhance student engagement and provide real-time feedback mechanisms (Redecker & Punie, 2020).

The expansion of online and blended learning models has further reshaped educational delivery. Blended learning combines face-to-face instruction with digital platforms, offering flexibility and personalized pacing. Studies following the COVID-19 pandemic highlight the effectiveness of hybrid models in maintaining learning continuity and expanding access (Dhawan, 2020).

Additionally, Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) and virtual learning platforms have democratized access to global education resources. These platforms support lifelong learning and cross-border academic collaboration, redefining traditional institutional boundaries (Bozkurt et al., 2021).

Competency-Based and Outcome-Based Education

The contemporary stage of educational transformation emphasizes competency-based and outcome-based education (OBE). Rather than focusing solely on content coverage, this model prioritizes measurable learning outcomes aligned with real-world competencies (OECD, 2021).

A central element is the skill development focus, particularly in areas such as communication, digital literacy, problem-solving, creativity, and entrepreneurship. These competencies are viewed as essential for employability in rapidly evolving labor markets (World Economic Forum, 2023).

The paradigm also incorporates performance-based assessment, including portfolios, project-based tasks, simulations, and authentic evaluation methods. Such approaches assess learners' ability to apply knowledge rather than merely recall information (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020).

Finally, industry–academia linkage strengthens curriculum relevance by aligning educational programs with labor market needs. Partnerships between institutions and industries facilitate internships, research collaboration, and experiential learning opportunities, ensuring graduates possess transferable and workforce-ready skills (UNESCO, 2021).

Overall, the evolution of educational paradigms from traditional to constructivist, digital, and competency-based frameworks illustrates a continuous adaptation to societal transformation. Contemporary education systems increasingly prioritize learner engagement, technological integration, interdisciplinary skills, and outcome-oriented learning models to prepare students for uncertain and dynamic futures.

4. Changing Role of Teachers in the 21st Century

Instructor to Facilitator: In the 21st century classroom, teachers are increasingly expected to shift from delivering content to designing learning experiences that actively involve students. This includes using student engagement strategies such as questioning routines, discussion protocols, and project-based tasks that make learners participate rather than only listen. Inquiry-based learning strengthens this shift by encouraging learners to investigate questions, collect evidence, and build explanations, while the teacher guides the process and scaffolds learning. Such facilitation also improves classroom interaction, as students negotiate meaning through dialogue, reflection, and peer learning rather than depending only on teacher explanations (Archer-Kuhn, 2020).

Teachers as Technology Integrators: Teachers now function as technology integrators, blending pedagogy with digital tools to improve instruction and assessment. This role involves the effective use of ICT tools (digital content creation, simulations, online communication tools), along with meeting digital literacy requirements such as information evaluation, ethical technology use, and responsible online participation. Frameworks for teacher ICT competence emphasize that technology integration is not only technical skill but also the ability to align ICT with curriculum goals, pedagogy, and assessment strategies (UNESCO, 2018). In addition, teachers are expected to use LMS platforms and e-content to distribute materials, organize assignments, provide feedback, and support blended/online learning. Evidence from empirical studies indicates that LMS acceptance is strongly linked to factors such as perceived usefulness, ease of use, and institutional support highlighting the need for training and enabling conditions in schools and colleges (Waris et al., 2022).

Teachers as Mentors and Counselors: Modern teachers also act as mentors and counselors, supporting learners' academic progress as well as emotional and social development. This role requires emotional intelligence to manage classroom relationships, respond to student stress, and maintain a supportive learning climate. Structured programs aimed at developing emotional intelligence in teachers have been shown to improve teachers' social-emotional skills relevant to classroom practice (Cihan et al., 2024). Teachers are further expected to practice inclusive education, ensuring equitable participation of learners across ability, language, gender, socio-economic background, and other differences. Inclusion-focused policy and evidence stress that "all means all," requiring classroom-level strategies such as differentiated instruction, accessible learning materials, and bias-free participation norms (UNESCO, 2020).

Teachers as Researchers and Reflective Practitioners: Another expanding role is that of teacher as a researcher and reflective practitioner. Through action research, teachers systematically identify classroom problems, test interventions, and improve practice using evidence from their own context. Action research is also recognized as a practical pathway for strengthening professional competence and improving classroom innovation (Al-Mahdi, 2019). This connects with continuous professional development and lifelong learning, where teachers update subject knowledge, pedagogy, and digital competence throughout their careers. International survey findings emphasize the importance of professional learning, supportive working environments, and teacher professionalism for sustaining quality teaching (OECD, 2020).

5. Impact of Education Paradigm Shift on Teaching Practices

Educational paradigm shifts have directly changed classroom practice. Teachers increasingly adopt innovative pedagogies aligned with digital transformation and system-level change, requiring leadership, infrastructure, and organizational readiness not just isolated use of tools (McCarthy et al., 2023). They also drive assessment reforms, including authentic and technology-enabled assessment approaches designed to capture complex skills such as collaboration, problem-solving, and higher-order reasoning

(Foster et al., 2023). These shifts encourage curriculum redesign toward broader competencies and future-ready learning goals, moving beyond narrow content coverage (OECD, 2018). Finally, paradigm change strengthens personalized learning, where technology supports flexible pacing and wider access to learning opportunities across the life course (OECD, 2019).

6. Challenges Faced by Teachers

Despite these changes, teachers face multiple barriers. Resistance to change often emerges when reforms demand new practices without adequate support, time, or clarity; research on teacher attitudes toward reform highlights how beliefs, confidence, and contextual constraints shape adoption (Alatiq, 2020). Many teachers also experience infrastructure constraints and uneven institutional capacity, which limit the consistent use of digital tools and modern pedagogies, especially where resources and staffing are inadequate (UNESCO & Teacher Task Force, 2023). Additionally, workload and stress remain major concerns; workload pressure has been strongly associated with emotional exhaustion and reduced job satisfaction, affecting teaching quality and retention (Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2015). Teachers also confront policy implementation gaps, where ambitious reforms are not matched by practical readiness, monitoring, or sustained professional support at ground level (Ministry of Education, 2020).

7. Opportunities for Teacher Empowerment

Teacher empowerment can be strengthened through targeted supports. Professional training programs especially those mediated by technology can expand access to continuous development and peer learning, but they must be designed around local constraints and teacher realities (Hennessy et al., 2022). In India, government initiatives have created a policy foundation for transforming teacher education and classroom practice, including emphasis on competency-based learning and professional capacity building (Ministry of Education, 2020).

Teachers can also benefit from EdTech platforms that enable resource sharing, feedback, and blended learning models, especially when combined with institutional support and clear implementation strategy (OECD, 2020). Finally, collaborative learning communities (peer networks, mentoring groups, communities of practice) provide sustained support for experimentation, reflection, and professional growth, helping reforms become practical classroom realities rather than only policy goals (OECD, 2020).

8. Comparative Analysis of Traditional vs Modern Education Paradigm

The transformation of education paradigms can be better understood through a structured comparison between traditional and modern approaches. While the traditional paradigm emerged during industrial-era schooling systems emphasizing uniformity and control, the modern paradigm reflects contemporary needs such as innovation, collaboration, and digital integration. The following comparative analysis highlights the major distinctions:

Dimension	Traditional Paradigm	Modern Paradigm
Role of Teacher	Authority, knowledge transmitter	Facilitator, mentor, guide
Learning Style	Passive, rote-based	Active, experiential, collaborative
Assessment	Exam-based, summative	Continuous, formative, competency-based
Technology	Minimal or absent	Fully integrated (ICT, LMS, smart tools)
Student Role	Listener, follower	Participant, co-creator of knowledge

Role of Teacher: In the traditional paradigm, the teacher functions as the central authority in the classroom. Knowledge flows in a one-directional manner from teacher to student—reinforcing hierarchical power structures. Instruction is largely prescriptive, and students are expected to absorb and reproduce information accurately. In contrast, the modern paradigm redefines the teacher as a facilitator and mentor. Teachers design learning environments that promote exploration, discussion, and inquiry. They guide students in constructing knowledge, encourage reflection, and support individualized learning pathways. The shift emphasizes shared responsibility in learning rather than unilateral authority.

Learning Style: Traditional education promotes a passive learning style, often characterized by memorization, repetition, and textbook-based instruction. Students receive information and are assessed on recall, with limited opportunities for interaction or experimentation. The modern paradigm encourages active learning, where students engage in discussions, problem-solving, projects, and collaborative tasks. Experiential learning and inquiry-based activities help students connect theoretical knowledge with real-world contexts. This approach fosters critical thinking, creativity, and adaptability.

Assessment: Assessment in the traditional model is primarily exam-based and summative, focusing on final examinations and standardized tests. Success is measured through grades and rankings, emphasizing recall and procedural knowledge. In contrast, modern education employs continuous and competency-based assessment. Formative assessments, portfolios, performance tasks, peer evaluations, and project-based evaluations are used to measure skills and conceptual understanding. This approach emphasizes mastery, growth, and application rather than memorization.

Technology Integration: Technology plays a minimal or nonexistent role in traditional classrooms. Instruction relies mainly on textbooks, blackboards, and face-to-face lectures. Modern paradigms, however, incorporate integrated technology, including smart classrooms, digital content, learning management systems (LMS), and online collaboration tools. Technology enhances accessibility, personalization, and global connectivity, enabling blended and remote learning models.

Student Role: Under the traditional model, students are primarily listeners and recipients of knowledge. Their participation is limited to answering questions or completing assigned tasks. In the modern paradigm, students are viewed as active participants and co-creators of knowledge. They engage in discussions, conduct research, collaborate with peers, and take responsibility for their own learning. This participatory role strengthens autonomy and lifelong learning skills.

Synthesis: The comparative analysis clearly demonstrates that education has shifted from a rigid, teacher-dominated system to a dynamic, learner-centered environment. The modern paradigm emphasizes engagement, inclusivity, digital integration, and competency development. While the traditional model provided structure and standardization, the contemporary approach seeks flexibility, innovation, and adaptability to prepare learners for complex global challenges.

9. Conclusion and Future Work

The evolution of educational paradigms reflects the continuous transformation of society, economy, and technology. Historically, the traditional education model emphasized discipline-based curriculum, teacher authority, structured classrooms, rote memorization, and examination-oriented systems. While this approach ensured standardization and administrative efficiency, it often limited creativity, critical thinking, and learner autonomy. The teacher functioned primarily as a knowledge transmitter, and students were expected to passively receive and reproduce information. Although effective for industrial-era requirements, this paradigm gradually became insufficient in addressing the complex demands of contemporary global societies. The transition toward constructivist, digital, and competency-based

paradigms marks a significant shift in educational philosophy and practice. Modern education emphasizes active learning, collaboration, inquiry-based instruction, and critical thinking development. Technology integration has expanded access to knowledge, enabling blended and online learning environments that transcend physical classroom boundaries. Competency-based education further redirects focus from content memorization to skill acquisition, application, and measurable learning outcomes. These transformations collectively indicate a move from teacher-centered to learner-centered systems, aligning education with innovation-driven economies and lifelong learning principles. One of the most profound outcomes of this paradigm shift is the redefinition of the teacher's role. Teachers are no longer confined to delivering lectures; instead, they act as facilitators, mentors, technology integrators, researchers, and reflective practitioners. Their responsibilities now include fostering inclusive classrooms, supporting emotional development, integrating ICT tools effectively, designing personalized learning experiences, and engaging in continuous professional development. However, this expanded role also presents significant challenges. Many educators' face resistance to change, inadequate digital training, limited infrastructure, increased workload, and policy implementation gaps. Without systematic institutional support and professional empowerment, the goals of modern educational reform cannot be fully realized. The several directions for future work are essential to strengthen the transformation of educational paradigms. Further research is needed to examine the impact of artificial intelligence and adaptive learning systems on teaching effectiveness and student outcomes. Longitudinal studies should evaluate the long-term success of competency-based education in enhancing employability, innovation, and higher-order thinking skills. Greater attention must be given to teacher digital readiness and the design of sustainable professional development models that support continuous skill enhancement. Additionally, research should explore strategies to ensure inclusive and equitable access to digital education, particularly in underserved and rural contexts. Another important area for future exploration is teacher well-being and mental health. As educational responsibilities expand, managing stress, workload, and professional burnout becomes increasingly critical. Policymakers and institutions must develop supportive systems that balance innovation with teacher welfare. Furthermore, comparative international research can help bridge the gap between policy intentions and classroom-level implementation, offering insights into best practices for systemic reform. Finally, interdisciplinary curriculum innovation addressing global challenges such as sustainability, digital citizenship, and ethical technology use should be prioritized to prepare learners for an interconnected world.

References

1. Alatiq, A. (2020). *Exploring resistance to change and teacher attitude towards educational reform* (Doctoral dissertation). University of Reading.
2. Bozkurt, A., Jung, I., Xiao, J., Vladimirschi, V., Schuwer, R., Egorov, G., … Paskevicius, M. (2021). A global outlook to the interruption of education due to COVID-19 pandemic: Navigating in a time of uncertainty. *Asian Journal of Distance Education, 15*(1), 1–126.
3. Biesta, G. (2022). *World-centred education: A view for the present*. Routledge.
4. Cihan, M. Ö., et al. (2024). Emotional intelligence training for pre-service primary school teachers. *Frontiers in Psychology, 15*, Article 11228342.
5. Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science, 24*(2), 97–140.
6. Dhawan, S. (2020). Online learning: A panacea in the time of COVID-19 crisis. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 49*(1), 5–22.

7. Foster, N., et al. (2023). *Innovating assessments to measure and support complex skills*. OECD Publishing.

8. Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2020). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117*(6), 8410–8415.

9. Hennessy, S., et al. (2022). Technology use for teacher professional development in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 115*, 103735.

10. Järvelä, S., Kirschner, P. A., Panadero, E., Malmberg, J., Phielix, C., Jaspers, J., & Järvenoja, H. (2020). Enhancing socially shared regulation in collaborative learning groups. *Educational Psychologist, 55*(4), 234–247.

11. McCarthy, A. M., et al. (2023). Digital transformation in education: Critical components for systemic change. *Educational Research Review, 39*, 100506.

12. Ministry of Education. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Government of India.

13. OECD. (2020). *Education in the digital age*. OECD Publishing.

14. OECD. (2021). *Education policy outlook 2021: Shaping responsive and resilient education in a changing world*. OECD Publishing.

15. Redecker, C., & Punie, Y. (2020). *European framework for the digital competence of educators (DigCompEdu)*. European Commission.

16. UNESCO. (2021). *Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education*. UNESCO Publishing.

17. UNESCO & International Task Force on Teachers for Education 2030. (2023). *Global report on teachers*. UNESCO.

18. World Economic Forum. (2023). *The future of jobs report 2023*. World Economic Forum.